



- Academically-focused
- Christ-centered
- Family-oriented

Team Policy Debate Ballot

Round:	Room:
Affirmative Debaters	Negative Debaters
vs.	
Judge	

1A - Name					
SPEAKER POINTS	Weak	Strong	
Argumentation/Refutation	1	2	3	4	5
Cross-Examination	1	2	3	4	5
Delivery	1	2	3	4	5
Evidence/Logic	1	2	3	4	5
Organization	1	2	3	4	5
Persuasion	1	2	3	4	5
SPEAKER RANK				TOTAL POINTS	
1	2	3	4		
SPEAKER COMMENTS:					

1N- Name					
SPEAKER POINTS	Weak	Strong	
Argumentation/Refutation	1	2	3	4	5
Cross-Examination	1	2	3	4	5
Delivery	1	2	3	4	5
Evidence/Logic	1	2	3	4	5
Organization	1	2	3	4	5
Persuasion	1	2	3	4	5
SPEAKER RANK				TOTAL POINTS	
1	2	3	4		
SPEAKER COMMENTS:					

2A - Name					
SPEAKER POINTS	Weak	Strong	
Argumentation/Refutation	1	2	3	4	5
Cross-Examination	1	2	3	4	5
Delivery	1	2	3	4	5
Evidence/Logic	1	2	3	4	5
Organization	1	2	3	4	5
Persuasion	1	2	3	4	5
SPEAKER RANK				TOTAL POINTS	
1	2	3	4		
SPEAKER COMMENTS:					

2N - Name					
SPEAKER POINTS	Weak	Strong	
Argumentation/Refutation	1	2	3	4	5
Cross-Examination	1	2	3	4	5
Delivery	1	2	3	4	5
Evidence/Logic	1	2	3	4	5
Organization	1	2	3	4	5
Persuasion	1	2	3	4	5
SPEAKER RANK				TOTAL POINTS	
1	2	3	4		
SPEAKER COMMENTS:					

- If the Affirmative Team is awarded all four stock issues, they win the round.
- If the Negative Team is awarded one or more stock issues, they are awarded the round.

WINNER: _____ Affirmative _____ Negative _____ Double Loss

REMINDER: *Please do not reveal your decision during your oral critique.*

- **Topicality** – plan is within bounds of resolution
- **Inherency** – problems exist in status quo and will not be fixed

- **Significance** – degree of importance attached to issues
- **Solvency** – plan solves for harms claimed

Reason for Decision and Round Comments: Please explain your decision using stock issues terminology, and give as much feedback as possible to help the debaters improve.

Date _____

Judge Signature _____

NOTE: Reason for Decision and Round Comments may be continued on the reverse side of this ballot.